APPENDIX B: SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM

Supreme Court of Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation Program **EVALUATION FOR ATTORNEY**

You have been nominated by Judge X to participate in his/her judicial performance evaluation. This evaluation form contains a series of multiple choice and open-ended questions about Judge X's behavior along 5 dimensions of judicial performance that should take no more than 20 minutes to complete.

If you have any questions about this evaluation form, please contact our Help Desk specialist, yvvvv, at yvvvv or yvvvv

For more information about the Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation Program, please contact the Illinois JPE pr	
manager, xxxxx, at xxxxx or xxxxx.	ogra
Your Appearances Before Judge X	
The following questions will help us understand the nature and extent of your experience with Judge X. Your response confidential and will not be used in any way that could identify you as a respondent.	ses a
Please estimate the number of your cases that Judge X has presided over in the past 12 months:	
cases	
Please estimate the number of times you appeared in court before Judge X in the past 12 months:	
appearances*	
*If you answered "0" to Question 2, STOP HERE and return this evaluation form to xxxxx by email (xxxx) or fax (xx ATTN: xxxxx). Only those attorneys who have appeared before the evaluated judge within the past 12 months should continue to p 2 of this evaluation form.	
Regarding your appearances before Judge X, what was the nature of the majority of those cases?	
O Civil	
O Criminal - Adult	
O Criminal - Juvenile	
O Other:	

0	Civil Defendant
0	Criminal Prosecution
0	Criminal Defense
0	Equal Mix
Overall,	of your cases before Judge X that went to trial and decision, Judge X ruled
0	Primarily in your party's favor
0	About equally in favor of and against your party
0	Primarily against your party
0	N/A

THE DIMENSIONS OF JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE

Regarding your appearances before Judge X, which party did you most often represent?

O Civil Plaintiff

In the subsequent sections of this evaluation form, you will be asked to evaluate Judge X on each of the following five dimensions of judicial performance:

- 1. **Legal and reasoning ability.** This section asks behavior-based questions about the judge's demonstrated legal decision-making abilities, judgment, and other applied reasoning and analytical skills in court.
- 2. **Impartiality.** This section includes questions about whether or not the judge bases her or his decisions on complete arguments and evidence from both sides, and whether or not the judge conducts her or himself fairly and objectively, unbiased by outside influences or inherent prejudices.
- 3. **Professionalism.** This section includes questions about the judge's personal conduct on the bench and level of professionalism as a judge, including but not limited to her or his diligence and attentiveness to the work of the court, degree of preparedness for court, and composure on the bench.
- 4. **Communication skills.** This section examines the judge's ability to clearly, articulately, and concisely communicate with all participants (e.g., attorneys, litigants, court staff, witnesses, general public) in the courtroom, both orally and in writing.
- 5. Management skills. This section asks questions about the judge's time management skills, court staff management skills, ability to maintain control over the courtroom, and philosophy in handling any courtroom delays or continuances.

Research has shown that people make better and more accurate performance evaluations when they take a few minutes to think about specific behaviors they have seen the person engage in rather than simply relying on their general impressions of the person.

YOUR POSITIVE EXPERIENCES WITH JUDGE X

To help you make a better performance evaluation, please take a few moments to recall some **positive behaviors** you have seen Judge X exhibit. Try to remember some positive examples of Judge X's behavior along each of the five dimensions of judicial performance. In your direct experience(s) appearing before Judge X, what did s/he do well?

Refer to **The Dimensions of Judicial Performance** on page 2 for a description of the five dimensions of judicial performance.

After thinking about these examples of Judge X's behavior, please write down any three of the positive behaviors you recalled about Judge X. Your responses are confidential and will not be used in any way that could identify you as a respondent.						
1						
2						
3						

YOUR NEGATIVE EXPERIENCES WITH JUDGE X

Now, please take a few moments to recall some **negative behaviors** you have seen Judge X exhibit. Try to remember some negative examples of Judge X's behavior along each of the five dimensions of judicial performance. In your direct experience(s) appearing before Judge X, what did s/he do poorly?

Refer to **The Dimensions of Judicial Performance** on page 2 for a description of the five dimensions of judicial performance.

After thinking about these examples of Judge X's behavior, please write down any three of the negative behaviors you recall about Judge X. Your responses are confidential and will not be used in any way that could identify you as a respondent.	ed
1	
	_
	_
2	
4	
	_
	_
3	
3	_
	_
	_

JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS

This Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation is divided into five sections. You will be asked to focus on and assess Judge X's performance on a different dimension of judicial performance (legal & reasoning ability, impartiality, professionalism, communication skills, and management skills) in each of these sections.

CONFIDENTIALITY

Your honest and accurate evaluations of Judge X are a crucial component of the judicial performance evaluation program. For this reason, the evaluations you provide are confidential.

All data are stored in a NCSC-sponsored database on a secure server and will not be shared with Judge X or any other Illinois official. The summary report reviewed by the judge and project facilitator will contain average ratings, average scores, and frequency distributions of ratings; it will also supply any written evaluation comments you volunteer in each of the subsequent sections. The summary report thus contains no information that would enable the judge to identify you as a respondent, except for any identifying details you choose to include in your written evaluation comments to the judge about his or her performance.

Because your written evaluation comments will be listed verbatim in the summary report for the judge to review, please do not include information in your written evaluation comments that you believe will identify you to the judge.

In the following five sections, please give serious thought to each item. Base your evaluations on your own personal experience in the past 12 months with the judge, and NOT on how you think the judge behaves in other proceedings, others' impressions of the judge, or the judge's reputation. For each item in the evaluation, please consider the context in which you have directly worked with Judge X. For those items that you are able to evaluate based on your personal experience with Judge X, please indicate the extent to which you witnessed the described behavior using the following scale:

- 1. I **never** observed the behavior in question.
- 2. I **rarely** observed the behavior in question.
- 3. I observed the behavior in question **some** of the time.
- 4. I **frequently** observed the behavior in question.
- 5. I observed the behavior in question **every** time.

Please use the N/A response option instead the above rating scale when: (a) the circumstances under which the described judicial behavior might arise never actually occurred in your experiences with Judge X, and/or (b) you do not possess the technical knowledge necessary to evaluate the judge on a particular item.

LEGAL AND REASONING ABILITY

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	N/A
	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Every time	19/70
The judge's ruling cited the applicable substantive law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge adhered to the appropriate rules of procedure.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to the case.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge failed to provide a proper legal basis for a decision.	0	0	Ο	0	0	0
The judge's decision was inconsistent with rules of evidence.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge drew a conclusion about the case that was inconsistent with the evidence presented.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge incorrectly interpreted a previous court decision applicable to the case.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge correctly interpreted a relevant higher court ruling.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge's decision followed logically from the evidence presented.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge had difficulty applying the law to the facts in the case.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge incorrectly identified the main issues in a case.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge assisted the parties in reaching agreement.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge quickly resolved problems that arose during the proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge rendered a well-reasoned decision.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please provide any additional perception identify additional strengths or weakness provided to Judge X to review for self-in	sses, or to clar	rify your resp		_		_	
IMPARTIALITY							
	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A	
The judge treated one of the two parties with favoritism.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge weighed the evidence impartially.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge conducted the proceeding in a neutral manner.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge appeared to decide the outcome of the case before all evidence was presented.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge made a decision before hearing all of the argument.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge unnecessarily restricted an attorney's presentation.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge allowed legally irrelevant information to sway her or his decision.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge treated the parties equally.	0	0	0	0	0	0	

to

		(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A
influen (e.g., po politica	ge's decision was unduly ced by extralegal factors ossible public criticism, l interests, fear of appeal, gifts/favors).	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ndicated that Judge X was influen gifts/favors), please select all infl			g., possible pul	olic criticism, _]	political intere	ests, fear of appeal,
0	Possible public criticism						
0	Political interests						
0	Fear of appeal						
0	Special gifts/favors						
0	Other:						_
		(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A
unduly charact (e.g., ra	ge's decision was influenced by personal eristics of one of the parties ce, ethnicity, gender, age, onomic status).	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ndicated that Judge X's decisions age, socioeconomic status), plea		. –			the parties (e.	g., race, ethnicity,
0	Race/Ethnicity						
0	Gender						
0	Age						
0	Socioeconomic status						
0	Other:						_
		(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A
	ge appeared to maintain an ind during proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0
favor o	ge appeared to categorically ne side (prosecution or e) over the other.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please provide any additional perception strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify you to review for self-improvement purposes	ur response(s)		-		_		
Professionalism							
	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A	
The judge appeared to be attending to issues irrelevant to his/her courtroom duties during the court proceeding at hand.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge overreacted to incidents in the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge retaliated against an attorney through her or his decision(s) in a case.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge took measures to curb unprofessional attorney behavior during a proceeding.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge disrupted a court proceeding (e.g., with personal cell phone usage, by having discussions with observers, by interjecting personal comments).	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The judge addressed individuals (e.g., attorneys, court staff, litigants, public, witnesses) disrespectfully in the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A			
The judge used unnecessary intimidation to maintain control of the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge appeared to be bored with a case.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge carefully reviewed evidentiary materials in the case.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge was unprepared for court.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>professionalism</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.									

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A		
The judge issued an order that was clearly written.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge spoke clearly during the court proceeding.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
When issuing an oral decision, the judge failed to provide an explanation for the decision.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and the clients they represent) understood the court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge's oral communication in court was easily understood.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge contradicted herself or himself during the court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge issued concise oral decisions.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge listened carefully during the court proceeding.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>communication skills</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.								

Management Skills

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A		
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge kept the cases moving promptly.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge maintained an orderly pretrial schedule.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge lost control of the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge failed to explain the reason for a delay.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Considering the amount of case law required to make the decision, the judge was slow in rendering a decision.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
For a continuance, the judge required parties to show cause.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge allowed the appropriate amount of time for each case.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge enforced court deadlines (e.g., for filing documents).	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The judge provided court staff with clear direction.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>management skills</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.								

OVERALL EVALUATION

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	GOOD	Very Good
Taking everything into account, how					
would you rate the performance of	0	0	0	0	0
this judge?					

YOUR DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (OPTIONAL)

To help us interpret the results of this performance evaluation, please answer a few questions about your background.

CONFIDENTIALITY

Any information you provide on this screen will only be used by NCSC to assess the quality of this evaluation instrument and to minimize bias in the Judicial Performance Evaluation process. Demographic information will not be shared with Judge X or any other Illinois official, nor will it be used in any other way that could identify you as a respondent in this Judicial Performance Evaluation.

How m	any years have you been a practicing attorney?
	years
	How many years have you been a practicing attorney in the state of Illinois?
	years
	In which judicial circuit is most of your work conducted?
	Judicial Circuit
Which of your	of the following options best describe your primary area of practice? That is, what area of law comprises the majority cases?
0	Civil tort - defense
0	Civil tort - plaintiff
0	Criminal - defense attorney
0	Criminal - prosecution
0	Commercial/ general civil
0	Juvenile offender or dependency
0	Domestic relations/Family law
0	Estate/Probate
0	Traffic
0	Government practice
\circ	Other:

Which	of the following environments best describes your primary work setting!
0	State's attorney office
0	Attorney general's office
0	Public defender
0	Legal aid
0	In-house Corporate Counsel
0	Private practice
0	Other:
	of the following options best describes your racial/ethnic background? If you identify with two or more of the options elect "Other" and describe.
	(Select one)
0	Caucasian/White
0	African American/Black
0	Hispanic/Latino
0	Asian/Pacific Islander
0	Native American
0	Other:
What is	your gender? (Select one)
0	Male
0	Female
Please s	hare any comments you may have about this evaluation, including any suggestions for how it may be improved.

Thank you for your participation in the Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation of Judge X. Please **return this evaluation form** to xxxxx by email (xxxxx) or fax (xxxxx, ATTN: xxxxx) **by** xxxxx. Your feedback is appreciated.

If you would like to know more about the Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation program, please contact the program manager, xxxxx, by phone at xxxxx or by email at xxxxx.

Supreme Court of Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation Program Evaluation for Court Personnel

You have been nominated by Judge X to participate in his/her judicial performance evaluation. This evaluation form contains a series of multiple choice and open-ended questions about Judge X's behavior along 5 dimensions of judicial performance that should take no more than 20 minutes to complete.

If you have any questions about this evaluation form, please contact our Help Desk specialist, xxxxx, at xxxxx or xxxxx.

For more information about the Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation Program, please contact the Illinois JPE program manager, xxxxx, at xxxxx or xxxxx.

THE DIMENSIONS OF JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE

In this evaluation form, you will be asked to evaluate Judge X on each of the following five dimensions of judicial performance:

- 1. **Legal and reasoning ability.** This section asks behavior-based questions about the judge's demonstrated legal decision-making abilities, judgment, and other applied reasoning and analytical skills in court.
- 2. **Impartiality.** This section includes questions about whether or not the judge bases her or his decisions on complete arguments and evidence from both sides, and whether or not the judge conducts her or himself fairly and objectively, unbiased by outside influences or inherent prejudices.
- 3. **Professionalism.** This section includes questions about the judge's personal conduct on the bench and level of professionalism as a judge, including but not limited to her or his diligence and attentiveness to the work of the court, degree of preparedness for court, and composure on the bench.
- 4. **Communication skills.** This section examines the judge's ability to clearly, articulately, and concisely communicate with all participants (e.g., attorneys, litigants, court staff, witnesses, general public) in the courtroom, both orally and in writing.
- 5. Management skills. This section asks questions about the judge's time management skills, court staff management skills, ability to maintain control over the courtroom, and philosophy in handling any courtroom delays or continuances.

Research has shown that people make better and more accurate performance evaluations when they take a few minutes to think about specific behaviors they have seen the person engage in rather than simply relying on their general impressions of the person.

YOUR POSITIVE EXPERIENCES WITH JUDGE X

To help you make a better performance evaluation, please take a few moments to recall some **positive behaviors** you have seen Judge X exhibit. Try to remember some positive examples of Judge X's behavior along each of the five dimensions of judicial performance. In your direct experience(s) working with Judge X, what did s/he do well?

Refer to **The Dimensions of Judicial Performance** on page 1 for a description of the five dimensions of judicial performance.

After think about Judg	king about these examp	oles of Judge X's beha e confidential and wil	vior, please write d l not be used in any	own any three of the way that could ide	ne positive behavior ntify you as a respo	s you recalled ndent.
1						
1						
2						
<i>Z</i>						
2						
3						

YOUR NEGATIVE EXPERIENCES WITH JUDGE X

Now, please take a few moments to recall some **negative behaviors** you have seen Judge X exhibit. Try to remember some negative examples of Judge X's behavior along each of the five dimensions of judicial performance. In your direct experience(s) working with Judge X, what did s/he do poorly?

Refer to **The Dimensions of Judicial Performance** on page 1 for a description of the five dimensions of judicial performance. After thinking about these examples of Judge X's behavior, please write down any three of the negative behaviors you recalled about Judge X. Your responses are confidential and will not be used in any way that could identify you as a respondent.

JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS

This Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation is divided into five sections. You will be asked to focus on and assess Judge X's performance on a different dimension of judicial performance (legal & reasoning ability, impartiality, professionalism, communication skills, and management skills) in each of these sections.

CONFIDENTIALITY

Your honest and accurate evaluations of Judge X are a crucial component of the judicial performance evaluation program. For this reason, the evaluations you provide are confidential.

All data are stored in a NCSC-sponsored database on a secure server and will not be shared with Judge X or any other Illinois official. The summary report reviewed by the judge and project facilitator will contain average ratings, average scores, and frequency distributions of ratings; it will also supply any written evaluation comments you volunteer in each of the subsequent sections. The summary report thus contains no information that would enable the judge to identify you as a respondent, except for any identifying details you choose to include in your written evaluation comments to the judge about his or her performance.

Because your written evaluation comments will be listed verbatim in the summary report for the judge to review, please do not include information in your written evaluation comments that you believe will identify you to the judge.

In the following five sections, please give serious thought to each item. Base your evaluations on your own personal experience in the past 12 months with the judge, and NOT on how you think the judge behaves in other proceedings, others' impressions of the judge, or the judge's reputation.

For each item in the evaluation, please consider the context in which you have directly worked with Judge X. For those items that you are able to evaluate based on your personal experience with Judge X, please indicate the extent to which you witnessed the described behavior using the following scale:

I **never** observed the behavior in question.

I rarely observed the behavior in question.

I observed the behavior in question **some** of the time.

I **frequently** observed the behavior in question.

I observed the behavior in question **every** time.

Please use the N/A response option instead the rating scale when: (a) the circumstances under which the described judicial behavior might arise never actually occurred in your experiences with Judge X, and/or (b) you do not possess the technical knowledge necessary to evaluate the judge on a particular item.

LEGAL AND REASONING ABILITY

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A			
The judge incorrectly identified the main issues in a case.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge assisted the parties in reaching agreement.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge quickly resolved problems that arose during the proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>legal and reasoning ability</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.									

IMPARTIALITY

socioeconomic status).

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A
The judge treated one of the two parties with favoritism.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge conducted the proceeding in a neutral manner.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge appeared to decide the outcome of the case before all evidence was presented.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge unnecessarily restricted an attorney's presentation.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge treated the parties equally.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge's decision was unduly influenced by extralegal factors (e.g., possible public criticism, political interests, fear of appeal, special gifts/favors).	0	0	0	0	0	0
If you indicated that Judge X was influence special gifts/favors), please select all influence			g., possible pul	blic criticism, _I	political interes	ts, fear of appeal,
O Possible public criticism						
O Political interests						
O Fear of appeal						
O Special gifts/favors						
O Other:						
	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A
The judge's decision was unduly influenced by personal characteristics						
of one of the parties (e.g., race, ethnicity, gender, age,	0	0	0	0	0	0

	ndicated that Judge X's decisions age, socioeconomic status), plea					the parties (e.g	,, race, ethni	city,	
0	Race/Ethnicity								
0	Gender								
0	Age								
0	Socioeconomic status								
0	Other:								
		(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A		
	ge appeared to maintain an ind during proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
favor or	ge appeared to categorically ne side (prosecution or) over the other.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
strengtl	Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>impartiality</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.								

Professionalism

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A
The judge appeared to be attending to issues irrelevant to his/her courtroom duties during the court proceeding at hand.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge overreacted to incidents in the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge took measures to curb unprofessional attorney behavior during a proceeding.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge disrupted a court proceeding (e.g., with personal cell phone usage, by having discussions with observers, by interjecting personal comments).	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge addressed individuals (e.g., attorneys, court staff, litigants, public, witnesses) respectfully in the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge used unnecessary intimidation to maintain control of the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge treated court employees respectfully regardless of position.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge appeared to be bored with a case.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge was unprepared for court.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please provide any additional perceptio additional strengths or weaknesses, or to Judge X to review for self-improvement p	clarify your re					

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A			
The judge spoke clearly during the court proceeding.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
When issuing an oral decision, the judge failed to provide an explanation for the decision.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and the clients they represent) understood the court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge's oral communication in court was easily understood.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge listened carefully during the court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>communication skills</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.									

Management Skills

	(1) Never	(2) Rarely	(3) Sometimes	(4) Frequently	(5) Every time	N/A			
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge kept the cases moving promptly.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge maintained an orderly pre-trial schedule.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge lost control over the courtroom.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge failed to explain the reason for a delay.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
For a continuance, the judge required parties to show cause.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge allowed the appropriate amount of time for each case.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge enforced court deadlines (e.g., for filing documents).	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge provided court staff with clear direction.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The judge thoroughly addressed concerns raised by court staff.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Please provide any additional perceptions you have about this judge's <i>management skills</i> . You may use this space to identify additional strengths or weaknesses, or to clarify your response(s) to any item in this section. Your comments will be provided to Judge X to review for self-improvement purposes.									

OVERALL EVALUATION

	Very Poor	Poor	FAIR	GOOD	Very Good					
Taking everything into account, how would you rate the performance of this judge?	0	0	0	0	0					
YOUR DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (OPTIONAL) To help us interpret the results of this performance evaluation, please answer a few questions about your background.										
CONFIDENTIALITY										
Any information you provide on this screen will only be used by the NCSC to assess the quality of this evaluation instrument and to minimize bias in the Judicial Performance Evaluation process. Demographic information will not be shared with Judge X or any other Illinois official, nor will it be used in any way that could identify you as a respondent in this Judicial Performance Evaluation.										
Which of the following options best desbelow, select "Other" and describe.	scribes your racial/	ethnic backgro	ound? If you iden	tify with two o	or more of the options					
(Select one)										
O Caucasian/White										
O African American/Black										
O Hispanic/Latino										
O Asian/Pacific Islander										
O Native American										
O Other:										
What is your gender? (Select one)										
O Male										
O Female	O Female									
Please share any comments you may have about this evaluation, including any suggestions for how it may be improved.										

Thank you for your participation in the Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation of Judge X. Please **return this evaluation form** to xxxxx by email (xxxxx) or fax (xxxxx, ATTN: xxxxx) **by** xxxxx. Your feedback is appreciated.

If you would like to know more about the Illinois Judicial Performance Evaluation Program, please contact the program manager, xxxxx, by phone at xxxxx or by email at xxxxx.