

COMMENT SHEET
Multistate Performance Test
School District Policy

Exam No. 4287 Karl

Grade 353

Skill Demonstrated		Comments
Followed Directions/ Completed Task <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepared memo to partner ✓ Followed instructions: eval each section/ suggests improvements w/ rsns ✓ 	1 2 3 4 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Right idea but didn't include GP/other facts
Fact-gathering <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summarized key facts & client's goals Facts presented in logical order 	1 2 3 4 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> shld at least summarize to show you understood goals
Organization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion organized around provisions in code ✓ Use of headings, if appropriate <i>NA</i> Cohesive paragraphs ✓ Effective thesis or topic sentences ✓ <i>mostly</i> 	1 2 3 4 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> shld mention key language of each provision @ beg./#
Legal Analysis & Reasoning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General principles expl'd first-1st Am. (<i>Hazelwood</i>) & schools ltd public forums so can impose narrowly tailored prior restraints (<i>Lopez</i>) ✓ § 48 applies to publications & performances (<i>Lopez</i>) Preamble: "off-campus" & internal inconsistency - pubs & media but list includes plays; speech; restraints OK if consist/t w/ values of educ (<i>Hwlwd</i>) Sec. 1- Use of "prof'l stds of Eng & journalistic style" permissible-consistent w/ educ goals; avoids disputes re: obscene; pubs but maybe not plays (<i>Hwlwd & Lopez</i>) Sec. 2 - "Good taste" - too vague?; "age, exp, & maturity" probly OK Sec. 3 - <u>Chck facts & quotes OK</u> b/c part of tchg skills but § 48 confers considerable editorial control on students Sec. 4 - Prior permis'n for quotes & photos probly OK (<u>§ 48 & cases</u>) Sec. 5 - Prior restraint of libel, slander OK (§ 48 & <i>Leeb</i>); "profanity" regulated as in local newspapers OK but possibly vague; prohibition v. criticizing pub off'ls not OK (<i>Leeb</i>); "not in school's best interest" too broad & vague Sec. 6 - Prior approval probly OK (<i>Hwlwd & Lopez</i>) but § 48 says teachers of classes Might want to clarify which sections apply to plays/videos All relevant authorities considered & interpreted accurately 	1 2 3 4 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Saying what the key words are will make it more clear; need to be more clear whether preamble is OK or not Mention in "prof'l stds" but not intend/# Spotted 1/2 issues need to say how to fix language Spotted some issues but not all Spotted issues; gave opinion but no mention/statute Too quiet - no reference to key lang or facts Need to say why
Grammar/Style/Usage/Details <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spelling, grammar, style & usage Used appropriate tone Used proper citation form 	1 2 3 4 5	